

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR Munoz **ORIGINAL DATE** 2/11/15 **LAST UPDATED** 2/12/15 **HB** _____

SHORT TITLE Distribution for Alcohol Detox & Treatment **SB** 463

ANALYST Malone

APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
FY15	FY16		
	NFI		

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Department of Finance and Administration (DFA)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 463 expands the fund distribution to certain counties for alcohol detoxification and treatment facilities as set forth in Section 11-6A-3 NMSA 1978. A new subsection creates \$300 thousand distributions to class B counties with a population of more than seventy thousand but less than seventy-five thousand people according the most recent federal decennial census (2010).

This bill strikes out the specific dollar amount of \$2.8 million set in current statute for grants and other allocations for counties with certain classifications and populations. It also removes the specified annual amount of \$5.6 million and allows for appropriations for alcohol detoxification and treatment facilities to be established annually by the most recent federal decennial census and county classification status.

The bill calls for using the most recent federal decennial census rather than the 1990 census.

The effective date is July 1, 2015.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

This bill contains no fiscal implication for the amount of liquor excise tax distributed to the LDWI fund. However, the way this fund is distributed as called for in this bill will change to allow certain counties to receive a larger or smaller sum depending on the latest federal decennial census and county classification status.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

Section 4-44-1(A) defines county classifications as:

1. those having a final, full assessed valuation of over seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) and having a population of one hundred thousand persons or more as determined by the most current annual population data or estimate available from the United States census bureau, as class "A" counties;
2. those having a final, full assessed valuation in excess of seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) with a population of less than one hundred thousand persons as determined by the most current annual population data or estimate available from the United States census bureau, as class "B" counties; and
3. those having a final, full assessed valuation equal to or less than seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) with a population of less than one hundred thousand persons as determined by the most current annual population data or estimate available from the United States census bureau, as class "C" counties.

*See attachment 1 for latest county classifications.

The bill calls for using the most recent federal decennial census data for determining county population. Attachment 2 shows the 2010 census populations findings by county. Using this data, there are two impacts as a consequence of the bill's amendments to Section 11-6A-3:

1. McKinley county will be newly included among those counties receiving distributions for for alcohol detoxification and treatment facilities. McKinley will receive \$300 thousand.
2. Sandoval county will begin receiving \$300 thousand, while under current statute the county receives \$150 thousand.

SB 463 will increase the amount of the distribution funds which will be set aside for specific counties in the form of a grant agreement to be used for detoxification and treatment facilities by the \$450 thousand outlined above. This action will decrease by an equal amount the amount of liquor excise funding available for distribution to all counties to fund the eight components currently funded through the LDWI Grant fund:

- Prevention
- Screening
- Alcohol Related Domestic Violence
- Compliance Monitoring/Tracking
- Alternative Sentencing
- Coordination, Planning and Evaluation
- Law Enforcement and
- Treatment

ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

DFA notes that each county DWI program will submit an application for FY16 funding to the department in March 2015. In this application, counties will be applying for funding amounts set in current statute. The DWI Grant Council will be awarding the funding for FY16 in April 2015. If SB 463 is enacted, the distribution amounts awarded to the counties by the DWI Grant Council will be adversely affected for most counties. The counties that will become eligible to receive the detoxification funding will need to submit an application for these funds, therefore, a new application may be due to the department and an additional DWI Grant Council meeting will need to be set to re-award the distribution and detoxification grant funds for FY16.

CONFLICT

Conflicts with HB 215 and SB 265.

AMENDMENTS

DFA suggests that an effective date of July 1, 2016 would allow time for the department to adequately prepare for the changes that will effect the amount of funding established for all counties.

CEM/je/aml

2014 County Classifications

County	Total County Values	County Population	County square n	CLASSIFICATION
Bernalillo	\$ 14,504,259,537	673,460	1160.83	A
Catron	\$ 123,312,201	3,658	6923.69	B-Under
Chaves	\$ 1,147,871,669	65,784	6065.28	B-Over
Cibola	\$ 311,545,375	27,334	4539.48	B-Over
Colfax	\$ 627,171,905	13,223	3758.06	B-Over
Curry	\$ 775,834,140	49,938	1404.8	B-Over
De Baca	\$ 61,873,752	1,927	2322.62	C
Dona Ana	\$ 3,888,811,543	214,445	3807.51	A
Eddy	\$ 4,335,058,599	54,419	4175.73	B-Over
Grant	\$ 750,033,460	29,388	3961.63	B-Over
Guadalupe	\$ 122,308,263	4,603	3030.48	B-Under
Harding	\$ 110,961,502	707	2125.44	B-Under
Hidalgo	\$ 155,452,345	4,794	3436.86	B-Under
Lea	\$ 3,565,723,847	66,338	4390.93	B-Over
Lincoln	\$ 1,134,575,464	20,309	4831.09	B-Over
Los Alamos	\$ 692,782,920	18,159	109.17	H
Luna	\$ 539,137,804	25,041	2965.19	B-Over
McKinley	\$ 819,640,109	73,016	5449.81	B-Over
Mora	\$ 118,985,612	4,705	1931.27	B-Under
Otero	\$ 1,033,349,939	66,041	6613.21	B-Over
Quay	\$ 192,196,330	8,769	2874.35	B-Under
Rio Arriba	\$ 1,360,379,152	40,318	5860.84	B-Over
Roosevelt	\$ 340,819,858	20,419	2447.43	B-Over
San Juan	\$ 3,659,082,015	128,529	5513.07	A
San Miguel	\$ 548,963,663	28,891	4715.82	B-Over
Sandoval	\$ 3,187,882,719	135,588	3710.65	A
Santa Fe	\$ 6,877,915,962	146,375	1909.41	A
Sierra	\$ 296,298,934	11,895	4178.96	B-Under
Socorro	\$ 246,066,526	17,603	6646.68	B-Under
Taos	\$ 1,361,228,484	32,779	2203.11	B-Over
Torrance	\$ 352,198,584	16,021	3344.85	B-Over
Union	\$ 194,745,438	4,431	3823.74	B-Under
Valencia	\$ 1,289,538,146	76,631	1066.18	B-Over

**2010 Federal Census Population
Data for New Mexico and Counties**

Subject	2010
New Mexico	2,059,179
Bernalillo	662,564
Catron	3,725
Chaves	65,645
Cibola	27,213
Colfax	13,750
Curry	48,376
De Baca	2,022
Dona Ana	209,233
Eddy	53,829
Grant	29,514
Guadalupe	4,687
Harding	695
Hidalgo	4,894
Lea	64,727
Lincoln	20,497
Los Alamos	17,950
Luna	25,095
McKinley	71,492
Mora	4,881
Otero	63,797
Quay	9,041
Rio Arriba	40,246
Roosevelt	19,846
Sandoval	131,561
San Juan	130,044
San Miguel	29,393
Santa Fe	144,170
Sierra	11,988
Socorro	17,866
Taos	32,937
Torrance	16,383
Union	4,549
Valencia	76,569